## Questions & Answers Jax Property Commerce # 53540-9999-29-A DNR BRRTS # 03-53-235613

Question: The bid document references the removal of the two USTs, but states that it is not included in with this bid. Who will be removing the USTs? Is the owner responsible for getting this performed prior to the proposed remedial work? Or, is the successful bidder supposed to enter into a separate agreement with the owner for the UST removal? Please clarify.

Answer: Since the DNR is requiring the RP to remove the two UST's still present on site, it's purely the RP's decision regarding who will be hired to conduct the tank removal actives so long as they are removed prior to the proposed soil remediation excavation activities. It is anticipated excavation activities will be conducted immediately following the UST removals. While it may be beneficial to the RP to coordinate and/or hire the same contractor to perform the tank removal excavation that will be performing the remedial excavation activities, failure to hire or secure such a coordinated effort shall not be considered a condition that would change the remedial cost cap established through the bid process. Furthermore, as explained in the bid specification document, the cost involved with tank removal actives are not eligible for PECFA reimbursement and must not be included in the bid.